

Volume Four Part One

The Recidivist

Presented by the National Institute of

The Study of Violence

September 21, 1999 Deborah Renee Tolhurst was beaten to death in her apartment in St. Cloud, Minnesota. Her body was wrapped in a blanket and set afire. When Ms. Deborah Tolhurst was found her hands were bound behind her back. Her legs and mouth had been duct taped. The medical examiner listed multiple traumatic injuries from assault as the cause of death. She had been beaten several times in the face. She had multiple broken ribs and a fracture of the bone that supports the base of the tongue. September 29, 1999 a memorial service was held for her at the Stearns County Courthouse. Several people spoke out against the violence. Deborah Tolhurst's father, Gene Burney, said, "For (Deborah), it's too late. "For the rest of you that are in abusive relationships - get out. Don't let what happened to my daughter happen to you." Mr. Jarrod Hall, a Member of Minnesota Community Affairs Inc., said, "This is such a tragedy. "What are we going to do to prevent this from happening to the next person?" Ms. Tolhurst's aunt, Loretta Thomas, said, ".What kind of people live in a community where this can happen?" Why, why, why didn't someone speak up?" No one in Deborah Tolhurst's apartment called the police during the beating. The fire department was called once the fire began to ravage the rest of the apartment building and people were evacuated. The most telling statement was made by Sherry Smolik-Day, who works at the St. Cloud Area Violence Prevention Council and was an assistant to Mayor Larry Meyer, "We all must deal with this issue, or sooner or later it will deal with us."

This book is part of a fifteen year study into the murders of 20,000 American women. It contains extremely sensitive information; our apologies and condolences to the reader if this information is regarding a relative, love one and or friend. Although this book contains in certain areas explicit descriptions of violence, extreme care was taken to allow the victims as much dignity as possible. As you read you will realize these descriptions were made to expand our understanding of violence and to prevent violence against women and children and violence in our communities.

Unless otherwise indicated, all Scripture quotations are taken from the King James Version of the Bible. Scripture quotations are taken from the Good News Bible Old and New Testament, copyright by The American Bible Society, New York, New York. Used by expressed permission. Also presented by the National Institute of the Study of Violence: Copyright Gloria G. Lee

Do This in Remembrance of Me

Volume One The Deadliest Moment
Volume Two Murder in the Family
Volume Three The Career Rapist
Volume Four The Recidivist
Volume Five The Ultimate Punishment
Volume SixAnd Suddenly
Volume Seven The Silent Killer

The Wall

Hands Across America

God's Encouraging Words to Heal Your Mind, Soul and Body
Publication Data

Library of Congress Control Number:

Hands Across America Library of Congress Control Number: 2002096970

The Wall Library of Congress Control Number: 2002096969

Do This In Remembrance of Me Library of Congress Control Number: 2002117872

Editing: Mrs. Valerie Cumming, author and teacher
Mr. Jonathan Lee-Ames Hop, M.A.

Cover - Jonathan Lee-Ames Hop, M.A.

An Explanatory Note To Our Readers

This book was written to be purchased and read by adult men and women. If you are a young adult, a person who is not eligible to vote in his or her state, we suggest you read this book with your parent's permission and supervision. The information discussed is not pornographic it is historical, but it is a subject young adults should discuss with their parents or a responsible adult.

Caution

This book is part of a study into the murders of 20,000 American Women. It contains extremely sensitive information; our apologies and condolences to the reader if this information is regarding a relative, love one and or friend. Although this book contains in certain areas explicit descriptions of violence, extreme care was taken to allow the victims as much dignity as possible. As you read you will realize these descriptions were made to expand our understanding of violence and to prevent violence against women and children and violence in our communities.

We request that you do not read this book if you have been a victim of violence or have a male or female relative or child that has been a victim of violence. There is no way to escape the pain this book will produce. This information was difficult to research, to retrieve and extremely difficult to discuss in writing. The information is overwhelming and it is not possible to read this book without enduring excruciating pain. Pain is not our objective nor is sensationalism. Our objective is to bring about a permanent change in the status of the American woman and the women of the world. To meet this objective, we provide penetrating information. All too often, information regarding the death of an American female is taken as an isolated event, thereby making her death digestible and easy for the women of this country to accept. Although the murders are important, over time, the murders become inconsequential because many women think the murders have nothing to do with one's everyday life. Therefore, the full impact of the number of women murdered in this county is not appreciated. Collectively, the murder of over 200,000 American women is important when one can sit and read the cases of 20,000 women from this group. The information in this book is not being offered to sensationalize or exploit the death of these women. The information is being provided to bring recognition to their existence and their untimely deaths. We believe the women who paid the ultimate price for freedom should be immortalized. These women should never be forgotten.

This book was written in deference to the millions of women in the world who have lost their lives merely because they were women. Think of the girls in India who are raped so males can remain in control. If you have read the news out of Nigeria, you will understand males are fighting all over the world to remain in control. More than 300 school girls were taken from their families merely because they were females trying to learn to read. No one knows if these children will ever be returned home again. Women must wake to the fight for sovereignty.

Extreme care was taken in discussing the deaths of more than 20,000 American women. It was our wish to grant the victims as much dignity as humanly possible yet alert the women of this country of the horrors of their deaths. But how much dignity does a victim have when it is known she was stabbed more than 153 times? Again, we feel that you should not read this book if you have been a victim of violence or have a male or female relative or child who has been a victim of violence. If you choose to proceed we ask you to be brave, strength will come. There is much to learn. You will

not put this book down without gaining knowledge. The Career Rapist especially will be hard reading, but as women we must stand together and face the monsters in life so we can live better lives and secure a future for our children. Although *Do This in Remembrance of Me* discusses the murder of 20,000 American women, the books are about life not death.

As you read the following information, please remain cognizant that you are reading case histories of crimes committed all over the United States. No one state has the same definition or punishment for any degree of murder, manslaughter or rape. The proof and qualification for an insanity defense is different in most states, as are the requirements for the death penalty. The definitions of mitigating and aggravating circumstances are defined differently by state, as is the right of a judge to override the jury during sentencing in death penalty cases. Also, the requirement for probation is different per state, as is the punishment for juveniles and individuals who are mentally handicapped and commit capital offenses. When you read Volume Three: *The Career Rapist* and Volume Four: *The Recidivist*, you will then appreciate this difference.

You must also remember American law is not static. Our laws have been made to yield to technology that has allowed for the release of men from prison. With respect to all the data in the four volumes of *Do This in Remembrance of Me*, the persons listed as murderers or rapists or in the category "Murderer Status: " were individuals who at the time were apprehended, arrested, charged, tried, convicted, or suspected or alleged by the police in the murder of the women mentioned. During the course of time, some of these individuals may have been exonerated and the information was not available or recorded in the source documents used during the development of the books *Do This in Remembrance of Me*. We took extreme care to list as many convictions and as many exonerations and overturned judgments that we could find in the source documents used. The two categories, "murdered by stranger" and "murdered by unknown," are not clearly defined as the categories when used by the United States Justice Department. Our "stranger" category is only used when the murderer is known but the relationship was not clear in the source document. The category "murderer unknown" was used when there was no information in the source document regarding the relationship of the murderer and victim, the murderer had not been apprehended, or the victim was unidentified at the time. In some situations, some of the cases listed under "unknown" may now have been solved.

We did not intrude upon the lives of the survivors as mentioned in the foreword. It is not our intention to sensationalize or to make murderers celebrities. You may recognize several women who were murdered by men now made famous by the newspapers. We did not use the slogan names of these men. We saw no need to further the agenda of newspapers.

When the last name of a person is in brackets, this means that we were not certain of the spelling of the last name or that we used the last name of the parent because we only knew the first name of the victim. The word "mother" across from the victim's name means in most cases that the woman left behind young children when she died. The

word “see” references similar cases or women who were murdered together or murdered by the same person. Again we caution you: please do not read this book if you have been a victim of violence or have a male or female relative or child who has been a victim of violence. There is no way to escape the pain reading the following passages will cause. This information is upsetting, but we must have courage. If you choose to proceed, we ask you to be brave; strength will come. This section will be hard reading, but as women we must stand together and face the monsters in life so that we can secure a future for our children and bring peace to our nation. There is no peace when there is violence.

To the men reading our books: you must become more aware of the possibilities of danger that your daughters will experience when they date, become engaged, or marry. You cannot help the women of this nation if you do not understand their problems.

Introduction

Our Prison System

Parole is the luck of the draw

The sole purpose of this book is to have the women in this country take a second look at the parole system. We want you to take a close look at who is being paroled in or to your state. That is correct we want you to take a close look at the men and women being paroled in your state. Do not be fooled, just because your state does not have a formal parole system your state can receive offenders from other parole states because of the unilateral agreement between states. A man or woman released from a Texas prison can request to be relocated home in any state of the union regardless of that state's parole policy. We want you to start by first investigating the prison system in your state. Ask yourself how many prisons are in your state. Where are they located? How do you get there? Are the prisons near main highways? Can a relative take a bus or train there and make a round trip in one day. If so how much time and money does that person have to spend to accomplish the goal of a visit? Is it possible for children to have regular visits with their parents in prison? How many children living in your state have parents in prison? Who has custody of these children? How often do these children see or speak to their parents? Where are the halfway houses in your state? What governmental agency maintains statistics on parolees? What is the prison budget for your state? How many new prisons are being built? How many parolees are being returned to prison for new crimes? Do not just go to the library and look in a book published by the U. S. Government. We want you to go directly to the state agencies generating this information in your state. This way you will be fully informed. When you complete your investigation you will find we still have much to do. Hopefully you will learn; the prison and parole system we have in this country put children in jeopardy; how difficult it is to obtain this information and while you are completing this assignment you can decide whether or not you support a parole system. When you complete your research you may decide to move to another planet. There will be several charts in the first chapter please pour over these charts look at them carefully to compare your state to your neighboring states. The prison system as it is established in this country is a double edge sword. Every state department of corrections states on their website or in their literature that the correction institution is maintain in order to protect the public. The system in effect does not protect the public. Prisons finish the process of making monsters out of the men who are place there. The next pages show the years and ages of women killed in this country.

Here we are going to discuss the recidivist the man who society has given a second chance to murder or a second chance to rape. An example of these men are messieurs, Ronnie Ray Brown, man in Florida and David Wyatt Jones three men devoted to rape and murder. A man says if you let me out of prison I will not do again what I did before. I feel remorse for my past deeds and I plan to live a better life. It is possible that he may mean these very words when he is speaking to the parole board. But his behavior after he is release belies his intentions. Inherent in his application for parole is that he does not have the tools or community support to keep his promise. He does not have control over his circumstances consequently he may or may not be able

to control his future behavior. Paroling men who have committed rape and or murder is a very danger behavior for society unless society feels it can afford to lose a percentage of it's' citizens and still be able to survive. When a person rapes or murders he or she is breaking the rules. His or her acts are not the same as a person stealing his or her employer's pencils or ink pens. Rape and murder has a lasting effect on society. These act changes lives forever. Murder or rape can leave children motherless,* husbands without their wives and mothers without their daughters. The deeds are irreversible. For an individual to commit rape and or murder means the thinking of this individual is much different that the general population at large. Society cannot legislate a man's thoughts; therefore, imprisonment does not change what a prisoner wants to do. All imprisonment does is prevent a prison from doing what he wants to do for a certain length of time. When a murderer or rapist was conviction the courts were saying the state want this person removed from society because his or her behavior was such that the lives of people were in danger. Therefore, when a man is released from prison prior to completing his full term the safety of society is undermined.

Society is being asked to believe a person who has already committed a dishonest act. Secondly we now know rapists and murderers eventually re-offend. What we do not know is how often they returned to raping and murdering until they are caught. Just because a person completes his or her parole satisfactorily does not mean the person did not participate in abhorrent behavior. It just means that during that period of time he or she was not caught by law enforcement committing a criminal act.

With this in mind, why are men who have raped and murdered released from prison? There is only one answer. The state feels it is necessary to release these men. Since we live in an affluence society the cause behind this necessity is money. Parole becomes the luck of the draw, with the losers being the women and children murdered in the state. The women and children these men murder become the acceptable percentage. In this book we are concerned with the release of men who have committed rape and/ or murder. We have listed on the next few pages the ages and number of women murdered in this country from 1967 to 1998. The figures will no agree with the overall totals for each year because this chart contains only the number of females whose ages were known. Many of these women and children were murdered by parolees.

Foreword

In the previous three volumes of "Do This In Remembrance of Me" we discussed domestic violence, family violence and the career rapist as these topics relate to the lives of women and children living in this country. In Volume Four we are going to discuss two different types of recidivist. In Volume Four Part One we are going to discuss the man who tells the parole board, if you let me out of prison I shall not reoffend. He is your common recidivist. In Volume Four Part Two we are going to discuss the man who tells his wife or girlfriend, if you will forgive me and return home I shall never hit you again. He is the undefined recidivist. Both of these topics are extremely sensitive subjects. We shall try to do our best with both. The Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary defines a re-cidi--vist as one who relapses; specifically: a habitual criminal, the word recidivist means to relapse, recur, to fall back. The online Encyclopedia Britannica defines recidivism: as tendency toward chronic criminal behavior leading to numerous arrests and re-imprisonment. We say a batterer is a recidivist. A husband who hollers and demands that his dinner be placed on the table at a certain hour is a recidivist. He is a repeat offender. A (recidivist) is not always a "criminal." A repeat offender (recidivist) can be anyone who repeats a specific behavior. Your husband or your boyfriend can be a recidivist a repeat offender. Think about it. How often has your husband or boyfriend slapped you or socked you in the eye and a few days later he brings flowers home and says he is sorry. Ten minutes later if he finds something he does not like taking place in the home he will take off his belt and beats you and or the children with it. Is he not a repeat offender? Many of the women we will soon discuss were victims of the repeat offender. If we broaden our view of these individuals, we see the criminality of their behavior and thus label them repeat offenders. If you think of your abusive husband or boyfriend in this manner your can clearly see him as a recidivist. In volume four we are going to explore the mind of the batterer with respect to two words evilness and the recidivism.

In religion and ethics, **evil** refers to the "bad" aspects of the behavior and reasoning of human beings —those which are deliberately void of conscience, and show a wanton desire for destruction. Evil is sometimes defined as the absence of a good which could and should be present; the absence of which is a void in what should be. In most cultures, the word is used to describe acts, thoughts, and ideas which are thought to (either directly or causally) bring about affliction and death —the opposite of goodness, which itself refers to aspects which are **life-affirming**, peaceful, and constructive. Aspects regarded as "evil" are thought of as *immoral*, corrupt, corrupting, *inhumane*, *selfish*, and *wicked*. The Institute says the actions of a recidivist are both evil and destructives and the two greatest practitioners is the parolee and the batterer. The unrepentant parolee and unrepentant batterer are the second most destructive forces in this country barring an ignorant person. The Institute defines parole as: parole is the act by a state government forgiving past offenses and allowing a person to be released from prison to return to society to be supervised by an inadequate staffed of parole officers. It is a system that has proven time and time again to be not on flawed but imperfect to the point it is constantly costing lives. But no legislatures have been killed. The belief is that the parolee has learned his or her lesson and desires never to return to prison. The desire is supposed to be the driving force to keep the parolee's behavior in line with society's rules and law. The government wants to believe that a man or woman will say to themselves "I do not want to go back to prison so I am going to be good. The government does not believe that the man or woman says to themselves "I am going to make certain they do not catch me so I will not return to prison. The accepted definition of parole is the conditional release of a person from prison prior to the end of the maximum sentence imposed. The reason why the parole system is important in the lives of women is women are the primary targets of parolees. The elderly are a good source of money

for the parolee and children and the 16 to 19 year old females make excellent sexual victims for the parolee.

We say our definition is better because the accepted definition conceals the magnitude of such an act. The word condition only comes into play if the individual is ever caught committing egregious acts against society. The official definition hides the fact that the system of parole negates the jury system. Parole renders the jury system null in void. Twelve people or a judge makes a decision to put a person in jail or prison for a designated period of time yet twelve to thirteen months later the state can decide to release this person from confinement. This means the state says it disagrees with the laws on the books and sets the person free. The use of the word conditional is silly because there are tens of thousands parole violations occurring every year in this country and the offenders remain free. Mr. Jason Matthew Wallace, 28, of South Carolina was allowed to remain free after numerous parole violations. He was only incarcerated after he murdered Christina Cubenas and Shantel Jamal in 2000 one day apart. Circuit Judge Victor Rawl decided in 1999 that Mr. Wallace remain on probation while getting psychiatric counseling. Why could Mr. Wallace not have been confined in a psychiatric hospital for treatment? Now two women are dead and the only thing the state can say is oops. Christina Cubenas was strangled to death June 5, 2000. Her body was stuffed in a closet. Shantel Jamal was strangled the next day in Florida and her body was disposed of in the woods. No one knew where Mr. Wallace was. He definitely was not where he was supposed to be. Shantel Jamal's cousin, Melba Torres, said, "It doesn't surprise me. It happens every day. These judges are letting go people who are not fit to share society with us." Mr. Wallace was released on probation April 29, 1998, seven months later a warrant was issued for his arrest after he stopped reporting to probation officials. His probation agent said, Wallace "shows no regard for community supervision." Mr. Wallace surrendered to authorities in August 1999. The court was asked to revoke his probation. He appeared before Judge Rawl October 25, 1999. He complied with the court order until February 2000. June 5, 2000 Ms. Cubenas was murdered. A warrant was issued for Mr. Wallace's arrest March 2000 but no one went looking for him. There were no bounty hunters or posse searching for him. He was left to do his own thing until he was apprehended for murder. When Mr. Wallace appeared before Judge Rawl October 1999 he had pending charges for allegedly pointing a gun at a former girlfriend and engaging a child in sexual performance. Mr. Wallace was arrested in 1999 for these charges. He was on probation. Judge Rawl said he could not take pending charges into account when considering revoking probation. Seven years earlier Mr. Wallace held a prison guidance counselor hostage with a homemade razor knife at MacDougall Correctional Institution. Was this behavior not enough to say to the court the court was dealing with a violent individual, an individual who uses violence go get his way? Why could not the judge error on the side of caution and revoke the probation? Compare this judge's decision to the decisions that are made by judges all over the country with regard to women after an assault or during divorce proceedings, or protecting children again batterers, or revoking court ordered visits. Women get raked over the coals during these proceedings. If you do not believe this statement go sit in a courtroom and watch how women are treated and the way judges speak to women requesting a protection order. You would think the women are some cow dune to be pulled off of someone's shoe.

Parole flies in the face of reason. Society spends millions and millions of dollars to maintain a judicial system. It spends millions and millions of dollars to maintain a police force. Society impanels a jury and pays the jurors a nominal fee for their participation in the judicial process only to have this system violated by state when it establishes parole boards. We are told the jury system is the cornerstone of our legal system yet the state violates this system at will. Someone in the late sixties years coined the word shadow jury in referring to the parole

board. This person said the parole boards work behind the back of society. Not only is their conduct hidden from view the average citizen has no power to intervene or influence this system. The parole system is an arrogant system. The existence of a parole board implies a group of selected people has more wisdom and personal knowledge of behavior to second guess a judge and jury. What makes parole so insidious is that parole causes the citizens in neighboring states to suffer. One state such as Ohio can parole prisoners and their nefarious citizens cross the borders into Michigan, Illinois, Indiana or Wisconsin commit their crimes then scurry back across the border. You can see this in Texas, Oklahoma and California. These three states share criminals. Georgia, Alabama and Mississippi share criminals. Ohio, Illinois and Indiana have now eliminated the parole board. Mr. Paul Dennis Reid, 41, was paroled from Texas to Nashville, Tennessee. In a matter of months it was alleged he murdered seven people, in three robberies. February 16, 1997 he robbed Captain D's and murdered Sarah Jackson and Steve Hampton, 25. March 23, 1997 he robbed McDonalds and murdered Ronald Santiago, 27, Robert Allen Sewell, 23, Andrew Brown, 17, and injured Jose Alfredo Ramirez Gonzalez, 30. April 23, 1997 he robbed Baskin and Robbins and killed Michelle Mace, 16, and Mrs. Angela Holmes, 21.

Mr. Paul Dennis Reid ended the lives of two high school sophomores; he left more than three children motherless and ended several developing families and left numerous friends and families stunned and heart broken. The stressor he needed money. He wanted to continue going to a community college and he wanted to become a country rock singer. He needed to take guitar lessons. He was fired February 15, 1997 as a dishwasher at Captain D's. If the same conditions exist in a person's life that sent him or her to prison the first time why will this person not return to pass behavior is the conditions return. If this person was not taught to problem solve while incarcerated when his he or she supposed to develop these skills. This man spent years in prison learning to become a better robber. What other knowledge was available? When men and woman are deliberately excluded from the benefits of our education system and the work force violence is the only results. Now imprisonment has become profitable, profit that is not to be relinquished. Prison needs more and more souls.

A host of murderers, rapists, con artists, abusers, and child molesters are released from prisons every day only to commit the same crime or a greater crime. This is especially true of petty thieves and burglars. People are returned to the same environment that spawns their aberrant behavior so what are we to expect but a reoccurrence of the same behavior. We know people have been murdered within days of a felon's release from prison. Remember Shakeima Richardson, 15 months. She was punched, kicked then stomped to death July 8, 2003 in the state of Michigan. Her assailant had not been out of prison a year before he committed this murder. Remember Sabrina Dalzell. She was murdered June 19, 2004 in the state of Michigan. A parolee is accused of abducting her from her home.

Remember Mr. Richard Biegenwald he committed murder in Florida. He was later paroled and allowed to return to New Jersey. In a span of seven years he murdered six people in New Jersey. Remember Mr. Calvin Jackson the petty thief living in New York. He was released from jail over and over again nevertheless in 1974 he quietly confessed to murdering eleven elderly women. Two of the women he assaulted survived. Mr. Jackson thought he had killed them. The police had no idea he was involved in nine murders.

Remember Mr. Michael B. Ross, who was educated and handsome. He murdered eight women in two states. Twice he was apprehended assaulting a woman but he was only given probation. He wore a smile and a baby face yet he was raging inside. His first known victim was Miss Dzung Ngoc Tu a student attending Cornell University. She was murdered in 1981.

Her body was never found. Michael Ross was apprehended for the murder of another female June, 1984. Sixteen years later, April 2000 a Connecticut jury recommended six new death sentences. In Connecticut the judge has to follow the recommendation of the jury. His execution was scheduled for September 15, 2000. We know Michael B. Ross was not executed because July 8, 2001 the state of New York asked for his extradition to stand trial for the murder of Paula Perrera, 16, in Wallkill, New York. Miss Perrera was murdered in 1982.

The greatest insult of parole was paid to Dr. William A. Petit Jr. of Connecticut when his family was murdered in his home July 23, 2007. No person should have to live through such horror. Two serial burglars, Steven J. Hayes, 44, Joshua Komisarjevsky, 26, were on parole when they conceived of breaking into the home of Dr. Petit and forcing his wife to withdraw money from his bank account and killing the family. Both men were drug addicts with a history of violent offenses. The plan was inane to execute. The men were apprehended within the day and their crime woke Connecticut to the dangers of parole. Who would have expected a doctor's family to be murdered in their home? They lived in the safest community in the world surrounded by people just like them. Yet evil walked in the neighborhood in the form of Messieurs Steven J. Hayes, 44, Joshua Komisarjevsky, being given a second chance to terrorize society.

Contents in Brief

A Case Against Parole

Foreword

Introduction

Dedication

Parole is the luck of the draw

Chapter 1 Murder And Punishment35

- a. The Theory of Parole
- b. Prison Population Charts
- c. System of Parole

Chapter 2 Parole39

Chapter 3 Recidivism57

- a. The Repeat Offender
- b. Recidivism Charts

Chapter 4 Yesterday's News.....123

Chapter 5 Murder By Gender.....129

- a. List of the Dead

Chapter 6 Case Summaries.....143

Chapter 7 Means of Death - major Categories - sub categories 275

Chapter 8 Yesterday's News319

Chapter 9 The Acceptable Percentage...321

Chapter 10 Yesterday's News.....351

Chapter 11 Why Was No One Watching?...359

Chapter 12 The Final Determination.....399

Epilogue Marrison Mathy Zvaifler, 16, Michelle Montoya 17, Mrs. Joan Frances Dostal, 59

Introducing Volume Four Part II

There are approximately twenty pages listing statistics and you are going to be asked to do some homework. These exercises will be good for you. They are designed to get you to think of your fellow citizens and the future of this country and the future of your children. The real enemy is television. Television is filled with misdirection. It is the pipe piper of the West. Television says just follow us we have all the answers. Trust us we know what is important. All you need to do is sit back and we will run your life. We have all of the answers. We know all of the problems in the world and we have all the answers. All you have to do is sit in your living room every night and we will tell you what to eat, how to eat, what to wear and how to wear it, who to marry and why you should marry them. Television says we can think for you. We can tell you how to vote, who to vote for we will tell you who your enemies are and what you should do to them. Just sit back and relax we have all the answers and we will tell you exactly how to live your life from the cradle to the grave. We say society must continue thinking for itself. Parole is one such subject that people should truly think for themselves.

Dedication

This volume of Do This In Remembrance of Me” is being dedicated to eight women, Sheillah McKenzie, 38, Patricia Wilson Butler, 45, Darcey I. Williams, 25, Anna Ewing, 42, Carmen Hunt, 40, Claudette Juniel, 31, Nellia Harris, 33, and Sandra Reed, 47. These eight women lived in St. Louis, Missouri. The prosecutor is alleging that their assailant was Mr. Terry Blair, a parolee. Mr. Terry Blair was paroled from a Missouri prison January 2004 after spending twenty-one years in prison for murdering his girlfriend, Angela Monroe in 1982. He was sentenced to twenty-five years in prison. The first body was found in July 2004. By September 2004 he was in jail charged with eight counts of first-degree murder. Five bodies were found in the month of September within three days. These women like the 297 women listed below represent the acceptable percentage; the number of women who have the possibility of being murdered in order for the state to save money by releasing from prison. The women listed below were murdered by a man paroled from prison. The parole board knows x number of women and children will be raped and murder once a man is released from prison. The only thing the parole board does not know is the name of the man who will commit the murder and the name of the women he will murder. In this book we give you a brief look into the lives of the women murdered by parolees and the circumstances of their death courtesy of the parole boards across the nation.

1. Aimee Willard
2. Alice Thompson
3. Alice Underdahl , 52
4. Amber I. Coleman
5. Amela Pasanbegovic, 20
6. Amie Hoffman 18
7. Amy Quinton, 22
8. Andrea L. Perry 12
9. Angelina Hopkins
10. Ann Alderson
11. Mrs. Ann Emory 76
12. Anna Olesiewicz 18
13. Anne Evelyn Alderson, 26
14. Anne Kelly Menjivar
15. April Brunais 14
16. Aundria Crawford
17. Barbara Anne Wielgus
18. Barbara Hendrickson, 51
19. Barbara Johnson 19
20. Barbara Schwartz
21. Barbara White
22. Barber Baker
23. Beatrice Funk
24. Becky O'Connell
25. Berit L. Beck 18
26. Bernice Clark
27. Bernice Faggotte 88
28. Betsy Bacon
29. Betsy foster
30. Bette Darnell
31. Bettrina Erika Pruckmayr 28
32. Betty Lou Harmon
33. Blanche Vincent 71
34. Bonnie Bush
35. Brenda Whiteside
36. Byong Yop Chang
37. Carmen Gayheart
38. Carmen Rodriguez 32
39. Carol Birrell
40. Carol Lynn Miller, 35
41. Carolyn Freeman
42. Carrie Coonrod
43. Carrie Williams 20
44. Catherine McDonald, 30
45. Catherine Wilkinson 19
46. Charese Kamps
47. Charlotte Jean Palmer, 24,
48. Charlotte Lipsik
49. Chastity Bray
50. Cherie Michelle Payseur, 24
51. Cheryl Coker, 33
52. Christi Stebbins 11
53. Christina Leal, 23
54. Christine R. Helmchen 19
55. Cindy Pintos
56. Mrs. Claudine Eggers
57. Clester McNeil
58. Connie Jo Sonnenberg, 33
59. Cora Jones
60. Corene Marie Burchie
61. Cynthia Jones
62. Cynthia Moreland 18
63. Cynthia Williams
64. Darla Jane Ferguson, 24,
65. Dawn Marie Huwe 18
66. Debbie Taylor 28
67. Deborah Margolin 17
68. Deborah Osbone
69. Debra Frost
70. Deidre O'Brien
71. Deirdre O'Brien 25
72. Delliah Zamora Wallace, 35
73. Diana O'Connell, 22
74. Divina Genao 7
75. Dora Schriber
76. Doris Drakeford
77. Dorota Dzubak
78. Dorothy Paige
79. Dottie Rhodes 17
80. Douglas Thomas

81. Dr. Kathryn Hinnant
33
82. Dzeneta
Pasanbegovic, 22
83. Dzung Ngoc Tu 25
84. Earnice Welch
85. Edith Pemberton
86. Edna Kane
87. Edna Sandefur RN 50
88. Eleanor Farver
89. Eleanor Platt 64
90. Eleanore Ojeda
Casares, 39
91. Elizabeth Gibson, 29
92. Ellen Marie Hansen
93. Ellen Marie Hansen,
20
94. Elva Lee Jones
95. Ethel Loney
96. Etta Ann Urdiales
97. Florence Ross
98. Frances Beck
99. Heather Klieber 13
100. Heather Scaggs,
20
101. Helen Cramer
102. Helen Dorrance, 26
103. Holly Anderson 18
104. Jamie Cooksey 14
105. Jamie Poinsky-
Kocher
106. Jane Doe
Davenport, Iowa
107. Jane Doe
Rochester, Illinois
108. Janet Baxter
109. Janice Cox
110. Jean Coddington
111. Jennifer Harber
112. Jessica Garner, 18
113. Jessica Muniz, 10
114. Jessica Roach 15
115. Jessica Ryer 10
116. Jessie Havens 52
117. Joan Lucinda
Webster
118. Joann Bray 26
119. JoAnn Noda
120. Johnette Terry 51
121. Joni Gatewood
16
122. Judith Ann Carter
34
123. Judith Ann Wrappe
24
124. Judy Brown
125. Judy Lynn Angel,
36
126. Julie Cunningham
127. Julie Mendoza
128. Julissa Rivera 18
129. Kami Villanueva
18
130. Karen King 18
131. Karen Lambert
132. Karen Schlegel
133. Karen Yvette Jelks
36
134. Katherine
Anderson
135. Katherine Duffy
Kleinkauf
136. Katherine Lucille
Hopwood 83
137. Katherine M.
Hawelka
138. Katherine
McCleave 28
139. Kathleen Leslie
Milne, 42* several alias
140. Kathlyn Elizabeth
Poirier 19
141. Katie Brown 15
142. Katie Lewisohn 65
143. Kazimiera Paruch,
144. Kea Armstead 19
145. Kelly Marie
Hammond, 23
146. Kimberly Caldwell,
19
147. Kimberly Cotner
148. Kimberly Lyttle , 28
149. Kimberly Wright 21
150. Kinoe Motosugui
151. Laurie Depies 20
152. Layla-Dawn Marie
Cummings
153. Leslie Shelley
14
154. Linda Wright
155. Linda Ann Ortega,
37
156. Linda Colon on
Bail
157. Linda MacLean
33
158. Linda Mae Ruiz, 37
159. Lisa Gill
160. Lori Ewald
161. Louise Ann
Hemphill
162. Lynn Willette, 35
(40)
163. Mable Hartmeyer
60
164. Madeline Kisner
165. Marcia Logue
166. Marcia Logue, 34
167. Margaret A. Phillips
168. Margaret Eby
169. Margaret Lynn
Eaton, 28
170. Maria Ciallialla
171. Marion Farrar
172. Marion Farrar 21
173. Marlys
Wohlenhaus 18
174. Martha Bess
Young, 27
175. Martha Carpenter
176. Martha Romaniello
177. Mary Alday
178. Mary Fine
179. Mary Foley
180. Mary Payne 83
181. Mary Ruth Winston
182. Maxwell Winston
Sr.
183. Megan Kanka 7
184. Melinda Ducharme
17
185. Melinda Urbin
186. Melissa Urbin
187. Michelle Burns
188. Michelle Goffee
189. Michelle Yvette
Gutierrez, 23
190. Miss Napierala
Daughter
191. Monique Hodgson
6
192. Mr. and Mrs.
Andrew J. Dorn

193. Mr. and Mrs. P. Vaiana
194. Mrs. Eileen Carter
195. Mrs. Elizabeth Hilton 70
196. Mrs. Gwendolyn Caldwell
197. Mrs. Jerilyn Tibbettes Towers, 34,
198. Mrs. Machekia Franklin, 28
199. Mrs. Maria Vergati, 68
200. Mrs. Mildred Adams
201. Mrs. Mildred Fallon 75
202. Mrs. Myung Jakim 30
203. Mrs. Roberto H. Hernandez
204. Mrs. Stanley Napierala
205. Mrs. Susan Heynes
206. Mrs. Susan Heynes 22
207. Mrs. Linda Wright, 27
208. Mrs. Lola Stern 93
209. Mrs. Rhona Eiseman
210. Mrs. Sophie McElvenny
211. Ms. A. Hapeman
212. Ms. V. Tassielo, 17
213. Myrna Dunkley 13
214. Myrtle Allen, 79
215. Nan Chalberg
216. Nancy Ludwig
217. Nancy Thoa 5
218. Nicole Roby 17
219. Nikki Blatter
220. Olwen Hausmann 38
221. Ophelia Fox 95
222. Paris Greer 29
223. Patsy Arlen Galsior 21
224. Paula Perrera 16
225. Paulette A. Couch 25
226. Pauline Spanierman 69
227. Pauline Rourke
228. Peggy Anne Ryer
229. Phyllis Liles
230. Rachael Crum 6
231. Rachel Newhouse 20
232. Rae Ann Schneider 20
233. Ramona Sidney, 31
234. Rayna Rison 16
235. Renae Wicklund, 31
236. Rhonda Maloney, 25
237. Robin Stavinsky
238. Rockell Johnson, 10
239. Ronelle Sue Eichstedt 10
240. Roxanne Hayes
241. Rozalyn Marshall
242. Sabrina Dalzell, 12
243. Sandra Brady
244. Sandra Carr 41
245. Sandra Csesznegi 42
246. Sandra Csesznegi, 42
247. Sarah Hansen 16
248. Sarah Lynn Paulsen 9
249. Seriece Johnson, 33,
250. Shafontah Bellamy 3
251. Shakeima Richardson, 2
252. Shana May
253. Shannah Wicklund, 8
254. Shereka Smith, 27
255. Sherry Ann Latham, 37
256. Shirley E. Haggerty
257. Sondra Kay Grenet
258. Sophie White
259. Stacey Frobel, 24
260. Stacy Morrison
261. Stephanie Roper 21
262. Stephanie Schmidt
263. Susan Myszka
264. Susan Petz volume two
265. Susan Reeves
266. Susan Sternfeld, 27
267. Sylvia Belcher
268. Tammy Jo Thompson Pregnant in vol 1
269. Tammy Lynn Maciulis
270. Tammy Williams 17
271. Taria Johnson, 8
272. Theresa Jordan
273. Tonya Dunlap 24
274. Tracy Williams, 38,
275. Tricia Reitler
276. Trini Middleton 14
277. Trudi Jeschke, 21
278. Valerie Delores Winston 32
279. Valerie Gill
280. Valerie Sloan
281. Vicky Larson 10
282. Victoria Slayton 5
283. Viola Hunter
284. Virginia Maines 20
285. Wendy Baribeault 17
286. Wendy Lovell 15
287. Willia Solomon
288. Winifred Miller 47
289. Yetta Yeshnefsky 79
290. Yolanda Gutierrez, 35
291. Yolander Bellamy
292. Yoshiko Oshita
293. Yvette House, 33,
294. Zina Brim and son

These women were all murdered by someone who escaped or was released from state custody on bail, on a day pass, on a prison furlough or paroled. Their relationship to the perpetrator was sometimes stranger, mother, grandmother, step-mother, sister, friend, neighbor, niece or wife. Their perpetrators were previously convicted of murder, armed robbery, rape, sodomy and assault or being held on similar charges before they were placed on bail. Some men murdered after being released one week, 59 days, 2 months, six weeks, 7 months. They lived at home or in half-way house or apartments. They work minimum wage jobs when employed. One man committed murder after being given a day pass. He wasted no time in finding his wife and killing her. Parole or bail has become a function of prison or jail cost not public safety.

The states of Arizona, California, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Minnesota, Mississippi, Ohio, Oregon, New Mexico, North Carolina, Virginia and Washington have eliminated parole boards. New York is considering eliminating their parole board. The federal government has eliminated parole boards but Connecticut, Colorado and Florida have reinstated their parole boards. Source: New York Times, January 10, 1999.

During the reading please pay close attention to the prison population of these states. See if you can estimate the prison cost for these states based on the data we provide. In Chapter Five you read about parolees who killed. Do you know what these men have in common? They were all parolees who after leaving prison went on to rape and murder. These are the second chance murderers. Mr. Krebs is important; he camouflaged himself with a girlfriend, marriage proposal.

Parole officers did not stop Mr. Krebs from killing why because properly supervision is a rouse. You cannot keep a man from killing when he wants to kill. Fact! Anyone can be killed and anyone can do the killing. He buried the women on his property. Do you recognize this man's name, Mr. David Wyatt Jones. You will read about him. He did not believe he could be stopped.

Think of the women who were assaulted and raped and lost time from work and lost pay. These are the women we were talking about that need our support. No collection was taken up to help with their expenses. In contrast a collection taken up to pay legal expenses for a Michigan sheriff convicted of rape look at the irony. Two difference states of mind set.

If you have any doubt that you should take some active role in preventing violence against women please read the following articles. After reading these articles there should be no doubt that we have much to do to prevent violence in all communities.

KILLER GETS 5 LIFE TERMS - AS PART OF PLEA DEAL, WATSON MEETS FAMILIES, AVOIDS DEATH PENALTY, Sun, The (Baltimore, MD), August 17, 2007, Author: sun reporter, Andrea F. Siegel

QUERIES FOR AN ACCUSED KILLER - VICTIMS' FAMILIES MEET PRIVATELY WITH WATSON, Sun, The (Baltimore, MD), August 15, 2007, Author: Sun reporter Justin Fenton

DNA leads to indictment in Arundel serial killings - Inmate Watson charged in slayings in '80s, '93 could face death penalty , Sun, The (Baltimore, MD), July 24, 2004
Author: SUN STAFF, Julie Bykowicz

“Do This In Remembrance of Me”

Volume Four Part One

The Recidivist

Presented By the National Institute of

The Study of Violence

Stop posting bail for men in jail for domestic abuse

“The protection order is just a piece of paper. No one should be fooled into believing that it will solve their problems. “

Ed. Grill, spokesperson Nassau County District Attorney

Lydia Grohoski, 34, was an abused woman. Her husband threatened to kill her. She went to the police and Mr. Grohoski was placed in jail. Mr. Grohoski was charged with reckless endangerment, assault and menacing. Lydia Grohoski got a protection order against her husband December 5, 1988. The only problem Mr. Grohoski was allowed to post bail December 8, 1988. While out on bail Mr. Grohoski kept his promise he shot his wife to death in her home in Cutchogue, Long Island New York City December 27, 1988. George Grohoski committed suicide at the scene. Mr. Grohoski’s grandmother posted his \$10,000 bail.

Relatives please stop posting bail in domestic violence situations. Your relative will become a murderer and the object of their hate will be dead. Yes you want to help but your assistance with bail facilitates the male’s quest for vengeance. There police officers were murdered in Texas after a man was bailed out of jail for beating his wife. All over America mothers, sisters and grandmothers provide bail money to their sons, brothers and grandsons because they think they are helping but they are not. It does not matter who is right and it does not matter who is wrong. What matters is you do not want him to become a murderer and have a woman’s children to be left motherless. Whether you want to accept it or not aunts, grandmothers and great grandmothers cannot take the place of a child’s mother. You are not a substitute for a child’s mother. So if you want to help leave him in jail, especially if he is an alcoholic or a drug user. When you post bail you become part of the violence cycle, you become a facilitator. Believe it or not most bails are posted by females.

The Case Against Parole A mother a Family and a Police officer

Volume Four Part One represents our case against parole especially the parole of men or women who have murdered or ruled a sex offender. The death of Mr. Cecil Dotson, 30, Marissa Rene Williams, 26, Cemario Dotson, 4, Cecil Dotson II, 2, Hollis Seals, 33, Shindri Roberson, 20, Mrs. Nicole Ann Reiser Bennett, 38, a mother of 3, Anna Villalobos, **45**, Jennifer Katz, 49, Zoelina and Jashon Williams and Sarah Morgan, 26, a mother, is the price our society is paying each and every day for our failure to deal with sex offenders and murderers in our nation. The state is talking out of both sides of its mouth when it comes to sex offenders and the parole of murderers. Most notably the states that have attempted to deal with the issue of paroling murderers and sex offenders is California, Washington and Minnesota. The legislatures of these states believe sex offenders should be permanently locked away. Michigan has flunk the test of dealing with its sex offenders and murderers saving money is more important to the Michigan legislature that spending money on educating children and educating and rehabilitating in its prisons. As you read remember all of the victims we discuss would be alive today if they had not been killed by a person paroled from prison. They would not have been murdered if the parole board was not given the authority to override a jury or judge's sentence. What is the purpose of parole to give a man or woman a second change? Why give a person a second change? In the case of Mr. Cecil Dotson, 30, Marissa Rene Williams, 26, Cemario Dotson, 4, Cecil Dotson II, 2, Hollis Seals, 33, Shindri Roberson, 20, Mrs. Nicole Ann Reiser Bennett, 35, Anna Villalobos, **45**, Jennifer Katz, 49, Zoelina and Jashon Williams and Sarah Morgan, 26, a mother their assailants were given a second chance to murder.

Every year all over America those states with a parole system release thousands of inmates back into society. Many of these men and women are ill suited to be released. District Attorney Nola Foulston of Kansas said in a 2003 article published in the Wichita Eagle, lawmakers need to realize that violent offenders, more often than not, will commit new crimes when released from prison. "My opinion, and it's more than just anecdotal, is that we see murders committed by individuals who have prior criminal records - whether they are on parole at the time of commission or just off parole. "I don't really look at prison as rehabilitation. We are fooling ourselves to think of it in those terms. It's a place to warehouse them, to keep them at bay from society." In light of this information states keep releasing men and in a few short months many return to complete their sentence along with a new detention order.

Illinois is one such state. Illinois released Taiwan Smith, 26, from prison June 2011. December 27, 2011 Taiwan Smith was charged with two counts of murder, aggravated battery and unlawful use of a weapon in connection the shooting deaths of Bouncer Robert Warren, 34, and factory worker Jose Duckins, 30. Mr. Smith was angry that he was kicked out a club and returned with a gun and began shooting indiscriminately. One of the men he killed was expecting a child. Mr. Smith was convicted of a drug charge so the board probably thought Mr. Smith was not a violent offender one who would use a gun. Ask yourself where did he get the gun?

Do not think just because you are a non-parole state there are no parolees living in your state. There is an agreement between states to accept one another's parolees. This agreement was not rescinded just because some states decided to change their parole policy. Also parolees leave the state without permission. Every parole state in the union have men who abscond from parole daily and these men are not pursued. They surface once they commit a crime in a neighboring state. So you should expect to find sex offenders living in shelters in your state. Shelters are a good hiding place for men running from child support, running from their parole officer or those looking for a new killing ground.

In a nut shell parole is a matter of money. There is an acceptable percentage considered in parole states; how many people may be killed if we released x number of men versus how much money the state will save in housing, protection, food etc. to run a prison. It is a matter of bed space and cost. Look at the number of men paroled by California verses the resources in the community to accommodate these men

and the state legislature cannot say it does not know there are no resources in the community to accommodate the men they allow to be paroled. We now have enough studies telling us our prison population is uneducated, riddle with men who are mentally ill and sex offenders that will surely rape and murder a woman or a child just as soon as they are released. We do not need any more studies what we need is action. We need to do three things nationally push for the education of all children, educate our prison population, train them in at least two skills and restrict the access sex offenders have with society.

We need to stop counting prison cost verses lives.

In 1997 Ten percent of California felons were homeless. Eighteen percent had some sort of psychiatric problem. Fifty percent were functionally illiterate. Eighty percent were unemployed. Eighty-five percent had drug problems, and there are only a few hundred treatment beds available to them in the entire state. There are only 200 shelter beds in the state for 10,000 homeless parolees, four mental health clinics for 18,000 psychiatric cases, 750 beds in treatment programs for 85,000 drug and alcohol abusers. Dorothy Montgomery, who directs Friends Outside, a nonprofit agency that works with families of parolees, said, "We need education, we need training facilities, we need mandatory classes for men and women who can't read." Steve Fama of the San Rafael-based Prison Law Office said, "They come out of prison not only with the stigma of being an ex-con, but isolated and without any job skills or approaches to dealing with substance abuse." These people haven't been able to participate in any rehabilitation programs or useful job-training programs." Under these conditions of course the recidivism rate would be high."

Jessie Dotson was paroled in Tennessee. Matthew Burton, 28, was paroled in Delaware David Atencio, 52, was paroled in Colorado. Reginald Powell, 54, was paroled in New York Curtis Martin III was paroled in California and Gary Costa, 37, too was parole California. Below is the result of these men being paroled? Now ex professor Rafael Robb wants the state of Pennsylvania to parole him. What shall they do? He is a low risk offender even though he bashed his wife face in.

Mr. Cecil Dotson, 30	Marissa Rene Williams, 26	Cemario Dotson, 4
Cecil Dotson II, 2	Hollis Seals , 33	Shindri Roberson, 20
Mrs. Nicole Bennett, 38		

March 2, 2008 Cecil Dotson, 30, his girlfriend, Marissa Rene Williams, 26, his children Cemario Dotson, 4, Cecil Dotson, II, 2, and his friends, Hollis Seals, 33, and his girlfriend, Shindri Roberson, 20 were murdered in Memphis, Tennessee. All of the adult victims were shot to death; the children were stabbed to death in Mr. Dotson's home. June 14, 2012 Nicole Ann Reiser Bennett, 38, a wife and a mother of three children was murdered in Millsboro, Delaware. As these murders were announced in the Tennessee and Delaware communities and the people learned the assailants were parolees everyone said to themselves "Why were these men released early from prison? Why these men were considered good candidates for parole? As the weeks went and the victim's lives took shape more and more questions were asked by the public. How could these men have been paroled? Why didn't someone know these men would reoffend? People all over the country were offended and angry the more they learned about the two cases. It did not take the Memphis police long to find out what happened in Mr. Dotson's home. Three of Mr. Dotson's other children were found critically wounded. It was from them that the police learned the shooter was not a stranger. Imagine the shock when the police were told the shooter were the children's oldest uncle. 33-year-old Jessie L. Dotson was arrested March 7, 2008. He was charged with six counts of first-degree murder and three counts of attempted first-degree murder. He stabbed five of his nieces and nephews killing two and wounding three. Jesse Dotson was released from prison seven months before the murders. He shot six people to death two months later. Where did he get the gun and why was he carrying gun? The story was shocking because the children were stabbed multiple times and suffered blunt force trauma to the head. The surviving victims bore stab wounds, one of the victims was found with a knife lodged in his head. People were thinking the murders were gang related until Jessie Dotson was name the assailant. The children were attacked to eliminate witnesses as were Marissa Rene Williams, 26, Hollis Seals, 33, and Shindri Roberson, 20, because Jessie Dotson shot his brother during an argument.

He was a parolee carrying a gun. October 14, 2010 **Jessie Dotson** was given six death sentences after the jury took about two hours to find him guilty. Jessie Dotson pleaded guilty to second-degree murder in 1994 and spent 14 years in prison. He was released from prison in January. It did not take him long to kill again.

It seems that it did not take long for a man in Delaware to rape again. Nicole Ann Reiser Bennett, 35, was reported missing June 14, 2012 when she did not return home from her job at a church day care. A hiker found her body in Whaleyville, Maryland hours after she was reported missing. Nicole Bennett was asphyxiated before her body was discarded on the side of the road. The man who is accused of killing Nicole Bennett worked as the church janitor. Bennett had been alone inside the church with Burton. Matthew Burton was fired from the church a day after Mrs. Bennett was reported missing. It is unbelievable how church authorities fired this man the next day without knowing he was a registered sex offender. How did he gain church employment as a "part-time independent contractor" around children is anyone's guess? This was the second church he had worked in. He has not been prosecuted but authorities know he has been convicted of a crime and the only reason why he was walking around is because of a plea bargain. Matthew Burton, a 28 year old sex offender, was charged July 2012 in Millsboro, Delaware with 22 felony counts including 12 counts of 2nd degree rape, 2nd degree rape by a person in a position of trust, continuous sexual abuse of a child, and 8 counts of unlawful sexual contact. He pleaded guilty to 2 counts of misdemeanor endangering the welfare of a child and was not prosecuted for the other charges. Burton received a 2 year suspended sentence and was required to register as a tier-1 sex offender, which does not appear in the public sex offender registry. Apparently the court did not take the crimes he committed seriously. When the suspect in the murder of Nicole Ann Reiser Bennett, 38, was arrested her father in law, Bob Bennett said, "Evil found Nicole that night. "Nothing will bring her back. A guy that can do something this horrendous to my daughter-in-law...I am thankful he is off the streets and I want justice. Since the Maryland authorities are asking for extradition Maryland has been determined as the site where she was murdered not Delaware where Mrs. Bennett worked. The church issued a statement regarding Mr. Burton's employment. It is obvious from the statement they have consulted a lawyer and are trying to distance themselves from any responsibility. Why was a sex offender working in a church unknown to the parishioners or church employees? Nicole Ann Reiser Bennett was the mother of three girls. This is the woman Matthew Burton, a tier one sex offender is accused of murdering. Someone thought this man not dangerous because he was classified as a tier one sex offender. What is absurd about this classification is no one knows his background only the offense he was caught committing. No sex offender should be considered low risk. Mrs. Nicole Bennett, a mother of three, left home June 14, 2012 to work at the Bay Shore Community Church daycare center in Millsboro, Delaware. She probably gave no thought to her safety because what could go wrong in a day care located in a church. No one would want to rob a day care. Yet June 14, 2012 would be the last day her family would see 35 years old Nicole Bennett alive. What Mrs. Bennett did not know is she was working in the same building of a sex offender. Whoever hired Mr. **Matthew Burton**, 28, of Dagsboro, Delaware as a janitor either did not check his references and history or that person believed that Mr. Burton deserved a second chance; he really was no threat. If the parole board let him out of prison he must be harmless. He must be a good risk not to reoffend. The person was gambling that the men and women who worked for the church and the children who attended the day care would be safe from Matthew Burton. If convicted this person now knows Mrs. Bennett was not safe from Matthew Burton. The body of Anna Villalobos, 45, of Wheat Ridge, Colorado was found in the garage of her home April 11, 2011 after her son alerted the police. Her son drove to his mother's home after he had not heard from her. **Once** there he found David Atencio, 52, threatening him and his mother dead. After a 7 hour standoff a swat team found Mr. Atencio in the crawl space of his home. He killed his girlfriend then shot himself. Mr. Atencio was paroled from prison in April 2008 after being sentenced in 1990 for attempted murder. Please note Mr. Atencio was still on parole when he murdered Anna Villalobos and he was in possession of a gun. Felons are not supposed to be sold guns. **Where did Jessie Dotson get his gun?**

Jennifer Katz, 49, who lived on Baldwin Place in Mamaroneck, New York with her two daughters, was a typical mother of two. She went to work she purchased groceries; she coached and had fun with friends. There was nothing remarkable about her life except the brother of one of her friends was a parolee. She

let the brother, Reginald Powell, 54, do a few jobs around her home but other than that she had little contact with him. She gave him no thought. She may have known he was a parolee but probably assumed since he was paroled he must have been a low risk offender especially since he was willing to do chores around the house to get money. We believe Jennifer Katz was a live and let live person who minded her business. December 30, 2010 Jennifer Katz, 49, was found dead inside her home in Mamaroneck. After an extensive investigation, Reginald Powell was arrested; Reginald Powell was caught driving Jennifer Katz' car. Powell was charged with: one count of Murder, one count of Criminal Possession of a Controlled Substance, one count of Burglary, two counts of Grand Larceny, two counts of Possession of Stolen Property in the Third Degree, one count of Criminal Possession of a Controlled Substance in the Seventh Degree. He was in possession of four rings that belonged to Jennifer Katz. Mr. Powell spent 22 years in prison for a 1982 homicide until his parole in 2008. He was convicted of all charges regarding the murder of Jennifer Katz Friday, December 21, 2012. He will be sentenced to life in prison without parole in 2013. There is no other sentence for the crime he committed. He could not pass up the opportunity to steal. The situation was just too easy. He gathered information through his brother and he gathered information when he did odd jobs around Jennifer Katz' home. He used this information and his relationship with the victim to know when to strike.

Mr. Rafael Robb would like to be paroled by February 2013 after serving five years in prison for murdering his wife, Ellen Robb, 49, December 2006. He pleaded guilty to voluntary manslaughter in 2007 and was sentenced to 5 to 10 years in prison. The prosecutor gave him a deal with the charge the court already gave him a deal with the sentence now he wants the parole board to give him a deal and release him. Look at the timeline. University of Pennsylvania economics professor Rafael Robb, 56, was arraigned January 7, 2007 in the death of his wife; charges were modified; the court date was set; they had a trial all by the end of 2007. Now he wants to resume his life something he denied Ellen Robb. He gets the house the car, the retirement the furnishings, their savings an understanding parole board everything. Mrs. Robb told her husband she wanted a divorce. For her request he bludgeoned her to death. **He beat the hell out of her. He showed her no mercy.** He beat her unmercifully. The beating death was so severe that law enforcement officers initially thought she had suffered a shotgun blast to the face. He was the authoritarian and she defied his ordered life what she wanted was not important to him. He was important his image was important and she had no right to make people think differently of him. Imagine Professor Robb was only charged with manslaughter and he was sentenced at the low end of the sentencing scale for that charge and now he wants out of prison so he can resume his life. Imagine! Question how many women in Pennsylvania have been charged with manslaughter for killing their spouse. Ten years for manslaughter! Now note the contrast between states; Sara Jessimy Kruzan was 16 when she killed her pimp in Riverside, California. She was convicted in 1995 of murder and it was not manslaughter, and sentenced to 25 years to life. The court nor did the prosecutor give any consideration to Sara Kruzan knowing George Gilbert Howard began abusing her at age 13. She shot a man and she must pay. There is no way this child should have been convicted of first-degree murder. Yet the state of California had its day in court. We guess killing pimps is not a good thing. Ms. Kruzan at the age of 35 is now asking to be paroled. Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger commuted her sentence to 25 years to life on his last day in office, Dec. 31, 2010. Someone spoke up on Ms. Kruzan's behalf. Now she has to face the parole board. She should be paroled. Any time you have a state paroling sex offenders surely the state can parole a person who killed as a child, who herself was a victim. A man was paroled from prison in Oklahoma he went on to kill 13 women in California. Reread Volume Three and note the number of parolees who killed once they were released from prison. This man purchased a newspaper every day to read about each of his victims. The defense in the case of Kruzan failed this child. Juries are automatically prejudice any time a woman kills a man. The reason why we say Ms. Kruzan should not have been charged with first-degree murder, and her defense failed her and juries are prejudice against women who kill men is because a man killed a 3 year old in California in 1994 and all he served in prison was five years. Once he was paroled he was free to kill again and he did.

On November 13, 2009 Zoelina and her 17 month old son, Jashon Williams, were murdered in Berkley, California. Not long after the murders Curtis Martin III was arrested. Martin is accused of fatally

bludgeoning Zoelina Williams with the barrel of a .22-caliber Ruger Rifle and shooting her in the stomach and the back of her head, before dragging her body to the shoreline at Aquatic Park. Ms. Williams' son was beaten to death. Mr. Martin had killed before. He killed his girlfriend's 3 year old son in 1994. Why was he not in prison? Mr. Martin only served five years in prison for voluntary manslaughter after being convicted of fatally beating 3-year-old Devin Brewer of Oakland in 1994. The prosecutors made a deal and the deal they made ultimately led to the death of Zoelina and her son, Jashon Williams. How can a man be guilty for voluntary manslaughter for killing a child? After being charged with killing Zoelina and Jashon Williams, Curtis Martin III was convicted December 14, 2012 and sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole. Now he shall be in prison until he dies but look at the number of lives he destroyed. Both Zoelina Williams and her son were loved by family and friends. Their deaths will never be forgotten. Yet the prosecutor gave Mr. Martin a break when he only charged him with voluntary manslaughter yet 16 year old Sara Kruzan was charged with first-degree murder for killing a man who was abusing her. Mr. Martin murdered an innocent child Sara Kruzan killed her tormentor. Prosecutors and juries are prejudice against women who kill men because they believe in a fair fight a woman could never kill a man. Remember in 1994 Mr. Martin killed his girlfriend's son, Devin Brewer, but he left the girlfriend alive, that slip up he believed caused him to get caught. In 2009 when he killed 17 month old Jashon Williams he felt he had to kill his mother in order to get away with the crime. If California paroled this man why did they make it a stipulation that he not be around children? They were just glad to get him out of prison to save money. How is a person who kills a child a low risk offender?

April 20, 2010 **Sarah Morgan**, 26, a mother of a 3 year old, was murdered and her apartment was set on fire in Contra Costa County California. Since the maintenance men heard the smoke alarm and called the police, the entire crime scene was not destroyed. An arrest warrant was issued for her boyfriend, Gary Costa, 37, who was a parolee. Mr. Costa was shot and killed April 23, 2010 after police spotted him in Pittsburg. It is a matter of money. The above victims were part of the acceptable percentage. In 1992 the State of Michigan made a wager on the public safety. The parole board considered paroling Gerald Tuttle a reasonable risk. Even though he was sentenced to 33 to 50 years in prison for raping and setting a woman on fire in 1978 the parole board set him free after he served 13 years. He must have laughed all during the time he walked out of prison because two months later he abducted raped and strangled a 15 year old girl to death. Of course the parole board had no comment. It was a matter of money most assuredly. Wayne County Chief Assistant Prosecutor George Ward said the state Parole Board is much too willing to let inmates out. He should know since he is one of many prosecutors who tried parolees over and over again for more serious crimes. Mr. Tuttle learned while in prison not to leave a witness behind this is why he killed the 15 year old. The 1978 crime had a witness. What is the acceptable number of citizens that can be raped, robbed or killed per the number of dollars saved in our prison budget? Why a parole system. Simply put it saves the state money. Human life is put in jeopardy for the savings of money. We shall discuss how much money is actually saved by the state when they parole men and women from prison. Mr. Ronald James Ward, 38, was a parolee who used alcohol and cocaine. In 2002 and 2005 he was connected through DNA to the August 25, 2000 murder of Krisine Laurite in **Arkansas**, the December 7, 2000 murder of Jacquelyn Travis in **California** and the December 27, 2000 murder of Shela La Rae Polly, 32. He was already convicted of the October 27, 2000 murder of Craig Petrick a homeless man in **Montana**.

Source: California is alone in key inmate statistic, **Fresno Bee, The (CA)** - Sunday, December 21, 2008, Author: Dan Walters Bee Capitol Bureau
Parolee policies cost state dearly, **Sacramento Bee, The (CA)** - Sunday, December 21, 2008, Author: Dan Walters ; dwalters@sacbee.com
Prisoner release program can continue, **Glasgow Daily Times (KY)** - Thursday, December 18, 2008, Author: Ronnie Ellis, CNHI News Service

Again, we would like to thank you for reading "Do This In Remembrance of Me." We realize the subject of murder is not a pleasant topic for a book but it is a subject we must all deal with because we

have an inordinate number of people suffering in this country because someone they loved or liked was murdered. All of us are faced with this problem. Every time you make a friend or look in the face of your child you are only moments away from learning someone you loved or liked was murdered. November 3, 2001 a woman and her new boyfriend drove to a local department store in Lincoln Park, Michigan. Unknown to them they were being followed. Once the couple entered the parking lot the driver behind them hit their car. The couple got out of their car to survey the damage. The woman's ex-boyfriend assaulted the couple. The ex-boyfriend used a hunting knife and stabbed the woman to death at the scene. Her new boyfriend died at an area hospital. Two years earlier June 11, 1999 David Jones, 26, and his girlfriend, Robin Kerl, were shot after leaving work. Mr. Jones died Mrs. Kerl survived. Their assailant was Mr. Harvey Jerome Pierce, 45. Mr. Pierce was the proverbial ex-boyfriend. Mr. Pierce was on work release at the time of the shooting. He bought the gun from a coworker. This situation cannot continue. We must change our country. It can no longer be business as usual. Take a look at the figures we have listed below. 90% of the assailants are male and 85% of the victims were male. The number of people accused of murder from 1930 to 1999 is:

1930		1940	4295	1950	7020
1931		1941	4458	1951	6820
1932	3230	1942	4764	1952	7210
1933	3514	1943		1953	7120
1934	3828	1944		1954	6850
1935	3423	1945		1955	6850
1936	3736	1946	4362	1956	6970
1937	3467	1947	4178	1957	6920
1938	3796	1948	7620	1958	8182
1939	3467	1949	6990	1959	8583
Total	28,461	Total	43,784	Total	72,522
1960	9140	1970	15812	1980	23044
1961	8599	1971	17627	1981	22516
1962	8404	1972	15295	1982	21012
1963	8504	1973	19509	1983	19308
1964	9249	1974	20600	1984	18692
1965	9850	1975		1985	18976
1966		1976		1986	20613
1967	12090	1977		1987	20096
1968	13060	1978		1988	20675
1969	14590	1979	21456	1989	21500
Total	93,486	Total	110,299	Total	206,432
1990	23438	1930's	28461		
1991	24703	1940's	43784		
1992	23760	1950's	72522		
1993	24526	1960's	93486		
1994	23326	1970's	110,299		
1995	21606	1980's	206,432		
1996	19645	1990's	196,127		

1997 18209
 1998 16914
 1999

Total 196,127 Total 751,111

Over seven hundred and fifty one thousand murders were committed in this country. A significant number of the murder victims were women. The murders were due to jealousy, hatred, greed, robberies, burglaries and drugs emotions and deeds born out of the human condition. Recently in the state of Michigan four men were tried for the murder of a woman. What makes their cases significant is that these men were accused of murdering women who died or disappeared over 7 years ago. Three of the men were married to the women they were accused of murdering and one man was an ex-boyfriend. The bodies of two of the women were never found. One of the men used an accomplice. In his case the murder was for financial gain. All of the women were under the age of 30. All four women were mothers. The names of the women are Mrs. Sharron Randolph, Miss Bernice Gray and Mrs. Cindy Marie Lesko and Mary Steffin. Three women were presumed murdered one presumed drown. Mrs. Randolph was murdered in 1982. Bernice Gray was murdered in 1991, Cindy Lesko disappeared in 1994 Mary Steffin was died in 1982. The accused murderer of Mrs. Randolph was the father of her child. The accused murderer of Miss Gray was the father of her child. The accused murderer of Mrs. Lesko was the father of her child. The accused murder of Mrs. Steffin We have three fathers accused of robbing their children of the affection of their mothers. Mr. Thomas Rudolph age 59 was charged with the murder of his wife, Sharron Randolph 26, January 8, 1982. Mr. Robert Pann was charged with the murder of Bernice Gray 23 December 1991 (her body was never found). Mr. Lesko was charged with the murder of his wife, Cindy Marie Lesko October 1994 (her body was never found). The record of their deaths is recorded in the figures below. The death of Mrs. Mary Steffin was considered an accidental drowning but her mother persisted. From 1982 to 1994 11,713 wives and 6,054 girlfriends were murdered in this country many of these women were mothers:

	Wives	Girlfriends	Total
1982	935	370	1,305
1983	1027	411	1,438
1984	868	401	1,269
1985	930	439	1,369
1986	924	501	1,425
1987	929	411	1,340
1988	950	457	1,407
1989	777	493	1,270
1990	862	441	1,303
1991	847	483	1,330
1992	913	519	1,432
1993	928	603	1,531
1994	823	525	1,348
Total	11,713	6,054	17,767

Mr. Pann was found guilty of first-degree murder in the year 2000. Mr. Randolph was found guilty of first-degree murder in the year 2001. Both men will spend the rest of their life in a Michigan prison. They will either die in their cell or in a prison hospital. Mr. Lesko was found not guilty. Between 1982 and 1994 Sixty Five Thousand, Seven Hundred and Sixteen women were murdered in this country (65,716)

Female Murder Victims By Age
1960 to 1969

Chart No.4 655F

	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964		
Infant							
1 to 4							
5 to 9							
10 to 14							
15 to 19							
20 to 24							
25 to 29							
30 to 34							
35 to 39							
40 to 44							
45 to 49							
50 to 54							
55 to 59							
60 to 64							
65 to 69							
70 to 74							
75 over							
Unknown							
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969		
Infant			65	46	52		
1 to 4			90	122	140		
5 to 9			66	52	52		
10 to 14			49	51	78		
15 to 19			207	216	257		
20 to 24			352	388	393		
25 to 29			341	351	363		
30 to 34			270	251	333		
35 to 39			293	300	286		
40 to 44			267	288	272		
45 to 49			186	184	221		
50 to 54			147	134	141		
55 to 59			91	88	111		
60 to 64			66	94	88		
65 to 69			55	70	56		
70 to 74			53	42	46		
75 over			88	70	82		
Unknown			47	51	54		
Total	0	0	2,733	2,798	3,025	0	0

Female Murder Victims By Age
1970 to 1979

Chart No.4 656F						Total		
	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974			
Infant	40	52	64	60	75		291	
1 to 4	116	149	130	146	127		668	
5 to 9	49	51	72	64	67		303	
10 to 14	72	84	85	99	92		432	
15 to 19	234	291	361	403	448		1737	
20 to 24	437	479	533	632	659		2740	
25 to 29	384	427	445	476	576		2308	
30 to 34	310	358	308	387	459		1822	
35 to 39	269	327	314	369	383		1662	
40 to 44	267	284	276	312	291		1430	
45 to 49	191	233	222	240	229		1115	
50 to 54	156	173	168	180	216		893	
55 to 59	100	127	146	137	146		656	
60 to 64	85	111	108	105	132		541	
65 to 69	62	72	75	103	114		426	
70 to 74	50	68	58	84	94		354	
75 over	92	116	120	150	163		641	
Unknown	54	51	33	51	72		261	
Total	2,968	3,453	3,518	3,998	4,343	0	0	18280
	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979			
Infant	66	82	83	93	69			393
1 to 4	142	132	149	144	165			732
5 to 9	77	57	96	71	75			376
10 to 14	86	84	100	122	82			474
15 to 19	462	394	461	438	479			2234
20 to 24	675	632	695	708	800			3510
25 to 29	574	561	586	614	692			3027
30 to 34	438	382	439	447	462			2168
35 to 39	350	306	344	336	371			1707
40 to 44	325	267	296	299	289			1476
45 to 49	274	245	211	215	276			1221
50 to 54	217	190	227	204	200			1038
55 to 59	145	143	167	150	151			756
60 to 64	138	142	144	131	150			705
65 to 69	120	99	111	110	139			579
70 to 74	91	88	94	95	122			490
75 over	193	211	187	217	218			1026
Unknown	76	50	52	57	74			309
Total	4,449	4,065	4,442	4,451	4,814	0	0	22,221
Decade Total		40,501						

Female Murder Victims By Age
1980 to 1989

Chart No.4657F

	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	Total
Infant	90	85	106	108	73	462
1 to 4	138	144	169	149	157	757
5 to 9	76	64	70	60	69	339
10 to 14	101	108	94	71	89	463
15 to 19	471	398	376	366	351	1962
20 to 24	826	708	750	695	688	3667
25 to 29	729	639	663	683	627	3341
30 to 34	555	520	484	532	459	2550
35 to 39	421	360	405	398	374	1958
40 to 44	287	269	324	271	258	1409
45 to 49	227	230	217	233	178	1085
50 to 54	201	194	202	172	129	898
55 to 59	154	173	161	172	135	795
60 to 64	147	141	141	118	128	675
65 to 69	112	114	131	114	98	569
70 to 74	116	92	116	94	106	524
75 over	242	228	247	260	203	1180
Unknown	71	71	67	52	92	353
Total	4,964	4,538	4,723	4,548	4,214	22,987

	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	Total
Infant	96	121	98	121	118	554
1 to 4	139	162	157	150	158	766
5 to 9	79	63	58	77	77	354
10 to 14	86	92	88	107	95	468
15 to 19	330	363	334	320	328	1675
20 to 24	597	707	647	640	605	3196
25 to 29	701	754	773	741	704	3673
30 to 34	577	556	599	598	585	2915
35 to 39	408	459	425	435	431	2158
40 to 44	298	304	305	301	312	1520
45 to 49	212	200	193	184	197	986
50 to 54	166	166	144	140	141	757
55 to 59	140	125	131	127	102	625
60 to 64	147	130	126	123	117	643
65 to 69	123	128	124	131	111	617
70 to 74	105	115	122	109	101	552
75 over	229	241	272	242	229	1213
Unknown	78	88	80	65	72	383
Total	4,511	4,774	4,676	4,611	4,483	23,055

Decade Total 46,042

Female Murder Victims By Age
1990 to 1998

Chart No.4658F							Total	
	1990	1991	1992	1993				
Infant	116	143	116	120			495	
1 to 4	140	178	171	200			689	
5 to 9	67	56	62	89			274	
10 to 14	108	89	121	129			447	
15 to 19	352	367	407	432			1558	
20 to 24	549	636	630	684			2499	
25 to 29	669	659	706	736			2770	
30 to 34	633	660	662	745			2700	
35 to 39	436	456	525	550			1967	
40 to 44	292	347	388	394			1421	
45 to 49	199	237	253	252			941	
50 to 54	117	143	175	166			601	
55 to 59	119	113	93	112			437	
60 to 64	100	119	105	108			432	
65 to 69	89	95	110	109			403	
70 to 74	105	85	103	121			414	
75 over	245	225	245	255			970	
Unknown	63	85	64	76			288	
Total	4,399	4,693	4,936	5,278			19306	
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999		
Infant	107	110	120	87	87		511	
1 to 4	207	187	171	155	145		865	
5 to 8	57	51	44	50	58		260	
9 to 12	60	44	39	38	55		236	
13 to 16	174	211	135	126	125		771	
17 to 19	255	299	222	205	204		1185	
20 to 24	574	508	360	399	352		2193	
25 to 29	605	566	411	354	392		2328	
30 to 34	708	606	447	428	408		2597	
35 to 39	562	558	450	417	441		2428	
40 to 44	329	399	315	313	347		1703	
45 to 49	234	230	248	234	215		1161	
50 to 54	160	169	141	133	118		721	
55 to 59	113	115	85	97	81		491	
60 to 64	90	88	71	72	59		380	
65 to 69	102	92	71	59	48		372	
70 to 74	99	101	68	64	65		397	
75 over	225	234	177	162	161		959	
Unknown	78	86	56	73	58		351	
total	4,739	4,654	3,631	3,466	3,419		19909	
Decade Total		39,215						

Female Murder Victims By
Age

2000 to 2004

Chart No. 4660F

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
Infant	95	99	84	91	88	457
1 to 4	124	154	147	142	147	714
5 to 8	40	44	51	41	39	215
9 to 12	27	45	42	33	33	180
13 to 16	89	98	109	76	86	458
17 to 19	187	170	166	169	180	872
20 to 24	347	363	398	420	341	1869
25 to 29	317	351	313	322	304	1607
30 to 34	335	347	375	344	312	1713
35 to 39	366	405	359	313	298	1741
40 to 44	325	344	325	330	334	1658
45 to 49	217	221	232	256	272	1198
50 to 54	119	141	154	167	145	726
55 to 59	87	100	107	109	107	510
60 to 64	63	83	64	66	86	362
65 to 69	68	66	59	51	72	316
70 to 74	60	67	60	63	46	296
75 over	141	146	143	152	150	732
Unknown	69	70	63	70	59	331
Total	3076	3314	3251	3215	3099	15955
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Infant	73	82				155
1 to 4	142	125				267
5 to 8	37	48				457
9 to 12	40	28				68
13 to 16	91	90				181
17 to 19	165	175				340
20 to 24	374	375				749
25 to 29	342	356				698
30 to 34	308	243				551
35 to 39	326	310				636
40 to 44	320	323				643
45 to 49	233	261				494
50 to 54	164	208				372
55 to 59	109	130				239
60 to 64	80	86				166
65 to 69	75	53				128
70 to 74	63	55				118
75 over	157	141				298
Unknown	56	67				123
Total	3155	3156				6311

Chapter 1

Murder And Punishment

After any discussion of murder the next topic is always punishment. What should be done to the perpetrators? What should society do with murderers? A murderer being a person who has taken a life as defined in the state's criminal codes. Should we imprison them? Should we rehabilitate them? Or should we kill them. Controversy surrounds each of these actions. Everyone in the country agrees that people who break the law should be punished. The argument begins when society tries to decide whether the murderers should be imprisoned for life, set free after a period of time or killed by the state. Society does not agree that we should kill violent perpetrators. The argument is extended when the state has to decide how long these people should be imprisoned or how murderers should be executed. The word execution produces a tremendous upheaval in society because we now know innocent men have been placed on death row throughout the country. No one wants to consider the possibility that an innocent man has been executed in this country. But the possibility is more that probable. Society does not agree on rehabilitation. The first part of this century most people believed men or women could be rehabilitated.

No one discusses rehabilitation now. The recidivism rate throughout the country destroyed the illusion of rehabilitation. Yet the parole system was popularized because people believed men and women could be rehabilitated. You will soon learn although the country knows the rehabilitation rate versus the recidivism rate is extremely low the parole system continues to exist. You are also going to learn the new parole system has nothing to do with rehabilitation. The parole system is based on how much room is in the country's prison system. You will also see the parole system is society's undoing. We are now faced with the phenomena called the acceptable percentage.

Most of the murderers we discussed intentionally murdered their victims. They are people who not only took the life of another individual but they are people who took that life for self-gratification. Make no mistake committing murder is enjoyable to some people. When you read some of the cases in this book you are going to become increasingly alarmed to discover that what you consider to be first-degree murder many times is bargained down to second-degree murder which in most states allows the person to be paroled after serving a minimum sentence.

In one case in Florida a man murdered two women. We do not know if these were the only women he killed. All we know is he was apprehended for the murder of a 15-year old girl and a 31-year old woman. He was only tried for the murder of the 31-year old woman. When the prosecutor left the courtroom he said since he got the conviction he wanted he would not prosecute the man for the murder of the 15-year old. He said the lack of prosecution did not make the 15-year old's death any less important. He said in seven years when the man became eligible for parole the parole board would know of the second murder. So what? A child was murdered and her murderer will never be tried for her murder. The lack of prosecution does make her unimportant. Her parents can never say her murderer is in prison serving time for her murder. Her parents are left with nothing. Imagine this happened in the state of Florida. The state of Florida is second to Texas in its belief in harsh punishment of the convicted. No consideration was shown the 15-year old or her family. The cost of her trial was the deciding factor. What if the man's conviction is overturned? You can be assured the prosecuting attorney never played football.

No one knows if these women were his first victims. Information that is not known to the police is very important. Not knowing if the murdered woman is the first victim causes police officers, parole officers and judges to make mistakes. This fact is extremely important with regard to judges. The power of a judge far outweighs the authority and power of the police, the parole officer or the prosecuting attorney. It is the judge who weighs the choice of probation, fine, a few months in jail, family counseling, community service, etc. Remember a judge can hear a case without a jury. We are now learning men who have been incarcerated for one murder have actually committed two or three murders that do not come to light until years later or after the person has been paroled for the first known murder. We also know men have been

put on probation for a sexual assault and the man was a murderer. This is why plea-bargaining is insidious. Plea bargaining robs society of its' safety.

Please remember in most states the parole board is above the law. They are immune to prosecution. When they make a mistake a lull in paroles will occur then the system starts up again. Remember Myrna Dunkley age 13. The New York Parole Board decided to release Thomas Little in 1976 over the objection of the Bronx District Attorney, Mario Merola. Two years later Mr. Little murdered Myrna Dunkley. The New York Parole Board was not impressed with the district attorney's letters asking that Mr. Thomas Little not be paroled. Parole boards know how much it cost to keep men in prison. What we will never know is how many women and children were murdered by Mr. Little after he was paroled between 1976 and 1978.

The parole boards can release any felon they choose unless that person was sentenced to life in prison without parole or is scheduled for execution. Parole boards are called the shadow juries. By excusing prison time the parole board second-guesses the convicting jury. The parole board rescinds the jury's verdict and institute its own verdict. The only beneficiary of this system is the convicted felon. You must know you state's statues before you make any assumptions what your parole board can and cannot do. One state in this country became concerned with the phenomena of the shadow jury to such an extent that the state stopped the practice of parole.

During the legal process of the man in Florida one of the murder charges was dropped. The district attorney said the dropping of the second murder charge did not make the second woman's death any less important. The hell it didn't. The man was convicted for only one murder. After the trial the prosecutor said the man would be eligible for parole in seven years but he did not believe the man would be paroled for quite some time. Imagine the arrogance of this prosecutor. He knew this murderer would be eligible for parole in seven years and he opts not to try the man on the second charge of murder. Prosecutors all over this county know parole for murder begins after 7 years of incarceration. If there is prison overcrowding parole begins in three years. This man should have been tried for both murders so he would never get out of prison.

What do you bet by the time the year 2008 this man is paroled? Florida is one of the states where a judge ordered prisoners released because of prison overcrowding. Yes a judge can rule against a state if a case is brought before him or her on prison overcrowding. We have laws governing everything in this country. A state by law has a minimum responsibility to state prisoners. The state has to provide food, medical supplies, doctors, nurses, protection, clothing, recreation, visits, etc. to it's prisoner. If a state fails to follow the law it can be ordered to do so. So if a suit is brought that prison overcrowding is a problem a judge can rule against a state. The process of releasing prisoner due to prison overcrowding is called early release. Violent offenders can be released during prison overcrowding.

In 1983 21,420 prisoners were released from prison early. The number of prisoners per available capacity was 110%. In 1985 18,617 prisoners were released from prison early. The number of prisoners per available capacity was 113.9%. There is no record of the number of violent offenders that were released in these groups. In 2 years 40,037 people were returned to the streets. During this same period of time people were incarcerated in state prisons. In 1983 1984 1985

The reason why early release and parole are important is because we have learned the man who is viewed as the neighborhood friendly robber who is readily paroled may actually be a robber and a rapist murderer. Look at the number of women raped and murdered during robberies. Look at the number of elderly women raped and murdered during home invasions. Remember Mr. Jackson. Remember Mr. Ross

Mr. Ross and Mr. Jackson was given a few months jail time for their offenses when he had brushes with the law. Mr. Ross was given probation and he was caught with a young woman bound in a field. Remember Mr. Biegenwald he murdered 6 people after being paroled. Remember the gentleman from

New York he was in prison when DNA evidence proved he murdered a young woman years early. He was not in prison for murder he stood to be paroled. Another family received closure after the death of their daughter when her murderer was found in prison. DNA evidence help convict him in the murder of their daughter. Did you notice the list of names of women murdered by parolees? These women are the acceptable percentage.

Men are paroled all over this country after raping or murdering more than one person. Men enter the front door of prison and leave out the back door when the public is not watching. Society has been put to sleep by watching the parole hearing of our country's greatest pseudo murderer, Mr. Charles Manson. Good the parole board is keeping Charles Manson in prison but what about the other men California is paroling. In actuality thousands and thousands of felons are released every day by parole boards. The parole boards know these men are going to fail. The men will be back in prison in less than 90 days. But in 90 days the state would have saved x number of dollars. When violent felons are paroled neighboring states share crimes committed by parolees, probationers and people out on bail. In this country we have two states where the citizens fought like cats and dogs over capital punishment. These states are California and New York. Both California and New York are now capital punishment states but the situation created by parolees has not been resolved. Californians are not so readily incline to execute and New York does not want to be identified with Texas. New York released three innocent men from prison in 2003.

Take a look at the charts the number of prisoners in Texas and the number of prisoners in New York prisons and the number of people on parole in both states. The prison size and parole population of these state prisons is not a coincidence. There were two states that were alarmed by the parole system. One state brought parole to a halt, Texas after a man who killed three people was paroled. After he was paroled he went on to kill about 5 or 6 more women. The second state, which was Kansas, introduced the sentence of Hard 40 to curb parole abuses. People convicted of certain crimes must be given the sentence Hard 40. Meaning the person must serve 40 years in prison before being considered for parole. Forty years is a lifetime. Granted the following states have now have a non-parole policy but we must remember there are still at least 30 states paroling rapist, murderers and sexual predators.

Many parolees have no fear of leaving the state, carrying weapons or using drugs. That is right they are not afraid of their parole officers. The only people who are afraid of breaking the law are the people who never break the law. You are probably asking how the system of parole relates to violence against women. Women and children are the targets of men on paroled. Women and children are murdered everyday by a man on parole. The courts are filled with repeat offenders who have murdered or raped a woman or a child for the second, third or fourth time.

Chapter 2

The Theory of Parole

Parole is the release of a convict from prison before the expiration of his prison sentence. Conditions are attached to all paroles. The function of parole is to assist the convict to readjust to society and to expedite rehabilitation. In theory if the convict violates these conditions he or she will be returned to prison. In actuality 1000's and 1000's of parolees violate their parole conditions every day without fear of being re-incarcerated. Warrants are issued but no one goes looking for parole violators. The violators are caught haphazardly through traffic stops.

Research shows the public is not protected from the parolee. Many parolees re-offend within 90 days of parole. The parolees that re-offend commit either the same type of crime that caused their original imprisonment or a crime that is worse. For example a young man is imprisoned for date rape. The next time you see him in court after being parole he is being charged with rape and murder. Or you have young men who were imprisoned for robbery. The next time you see them in court after being parole they are being charged with burglary and rape and murder. Social scientists say men need rehabilitation. All society has to do is show these men the errors of their ways and they will change. We say these men have character flaws, and the character flaws are what leads the men to continue in the behavior that caused their original incarceration.

We also say these character flaws are only subject to change if the individual so desires. Nevertheless the character flaws will always be present and the man will always be waging war with himself over what is right and what is wrong. No one can give a man a conscience. A man will say I am no longer a thief which is correct if he never steals again. But this individual has a history, a repertoire of behaviors associated with stealing. If a man has been stealing since he was 7 years of age and he is now age 36 he is no different from a man trying to stop drinking or smoking. In order to be an ex thief he has to continuously win the fight being waged within him.

He has behaviors associated with specific stimuli that prompt him to steal. So if he is in an environment conducive to stealing him he will have a tremendous fight with himself not to steal. This behavior is the same with all habits. There is gratification derived from each of these habits. Therefore the theory of rehabilitation should actually be a theory of how to get men to respond differently to specific stimuli. There is also another phenomenon underlying the character flaw it is the belief by most criminals that they can commit a crime and no one will know. These men are either not good at self-evaluation or they never go through the steps of self-appraisal.

Granted the theory is simplistic but one thing is for certain, a man will only change if he wants to change; and a man will not change if he does not want to change. Force, coercion or the doctrine of Christianity cannot bring about change in a man if he chooses not to change. A man may modify his behavior to get out of prison but the modification in behavior is only temporary until he gets his freedom. Man must want to change or change will not take place. After the desire to change is developed the man still has to wage war to change. In the lives of some men during the process of maturation the mapping of behaviors and responses were not the same as the general population. The mapping of behaviors to responses is what is causing the problem. There are entire repertoires of behaviors these men do not know. These systems of behaviors are learned early in life. For example you are hungry. You get up from your chair and you go into the kitchen and you take a plate and a glass out of the cupboard. Then you open the refrigerator and you take out a glass of milk, or a pop, or a piece of fruit or a piece of pie, or a chicken leg and you sit down to eat at a table. On the table are place mats and napkins.

During your lifetime you have repeated this behavior a thousand times. In one day you may repeat this behavior at least ten times. Now let us say beginning at the age of 4 you developed the behavior of opening the refrigerator to get you something to eat. Also let us say you repeat this behavior at least 10

times a day. So in one week you have repeated this behavior 70 times. In one year you have repeated this behavior 3650 times. So by the time you are 14 years old you would have opened the refrigerator in your home looking for food 36,500 times. So by the time you reach the age of 14 you have learned there is food in the refrigerator, there are napkins and place mats on the table. Your learning has become a habit because you expect food to be in the refrigerator. Also each time you participate in this behavior you are receiving emotional stimulus. You are receiving physical and emotional support every time you go to the refrigerator to get food.

Please remember part of developing behaviors is associated with watching. A child learns there is food in the refrigerator by watching his mother, brothers, sisters or father open the refrigerator and get food out of it. The child believes after watching his family open the refrigerator he or she can open the refrigerator and get food out of it. Also getting food from the refrigerator is a system of behaviors we have encapsulated into one behavior but actually you feel hunger, you decide to eat, you go into the kitchen and get a plate, knife, fork or spoon. You get a glass from the cupboard. You open the refrigerator door and you get food out. You sit down at the table. You eat and you use a napkin. So there is an entire sequence of events associated with eating and each time a person participates in this behavior he is learning and the learning is being reinforced.

Now how many times do you think you will repeat these behaviors if one of the variables in this sequence is missing? There is no glass, or the kitchen is dirty. There is no food or the refrigerator is filled with roaches. There is no table so you have to eat off the floor. Now let us say that the first sequence is the good and the second sequence is the bad. If you go to the refrigerator in the first sequence and get food out and you eat you have gained physical and emotional support. If you go to the refrigerator in the second sequence and you do not get food you have not received physical and emotional support. But in either case you have learned. By the time a male child has reached the age of 16 out of the 43,800 sequences he has learned. Also this knowledge has been reinforced.

The same learning sequence takes place when one steals or kills except the system is a little more complex. So we have men in prison that have learned to steal and to kill. These men have a system of behaviors associated with stealing and/or killing and these behaviors have triggers and we tell them if you mend your ways we will let you out of prison. Now who is stupid?

The papers are full of stories involving parolees committing serious crimes. Many say the problem of parolee re-offending is caused by not enough parole staff to handle all the cases. Some say there is no way a parole officer can stop a parolee from committing a crime if he wants to. Both of these statements are true. But there is another truth. That truth is no one knows what is in the heart or mind of a man. Therefore to protect society once a man or woman are apprehended, tried and convicted he or she should be made to serve their entire sentence the way the legal system was originally designed. Or parole should be offered only to those individuals who committed crimes where the propensity to re-offend is low. The propensity for sexual predators to re-offend is great. The propensity for the dope-robber and burglar to re-offend is great. The propensity for the murderer to re-offend is great.

Mr. Roosevelt Washington decided to steal a car. Shortly after stealing the car three women lay dead, two innocent sisters driving in a car and a female passenger in the car with Mr. Roosevelt Washington. Authorities do not know if the woman, Kimberly Wright, who was